

EQUITY AND LAW

LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY,

18, LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS, LONDON, W.C.

ESTABLISHED 1844.

DIRECTORS.

Chairman—Cecil Henry Russell, Esq.
Deputy-Chairman—John Croft Deverell, Esq.
 Harold Agnew, Esq.
 C. E. Broughton, Esq.
 Edmund Church, Esq.
 Philip G. Collins, Esq.
 Sir Kenneth E. Digby, G.C.B., K.C.
 Charles Baker Dimond, Esq.
 Sir Howard W. Elphinstone, Bart.
 The Hon. Mr. Justice Grantham.
 Richard L. Harrison, Esq.
 L. W. North Hickley, Esq.
 Archibald Herbert James, Esq.
 The Rt. Hon. Lord Macnaghten, G.C.M.G.
 William Maples, Esq.
 Edward Moberly, Esq.
 The Hon. Mr. Justice Phillimore.
 George Thompson Powell, Esq.
 Mark Lemon Romer, Esq., K.C.
 The Hon. Charles Russell.
 Richard Stephens Taylor, Esq.
 H. P. Bowling Trevanion, Esq.

FUNDS EXCEED - - £4,594,000.

All classes of Life Assurance Granted. Reversions and Life Interests Purchased.
 Loans on Approved Securities entertained on Favourable Terms.

W. P. PHELPS, *Actuary and Secretary.*

FLOWERDEW & CO.,

COSTS DRAWN AND SETTLED (from papers alone, if necessary).

TRANSLATIONS OF NOTARIAL QUALITY.

VERBATIM LAW REPORTING.

Permanent Office Staff in each Department.

Head Offices: 14, BELL YARD, TEMPLE BAR, W.C.

Also at 11, Poultry and Salisbury House, E.C.

Telephones: Holborn 900 and Central 6250. Telegrams: "Flowerdew, London."

THE NORTHERN

ASSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FIRE. LIFE. BURGLARY. ACCIDENT.
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY.

Accumulated Funds (1908) £7,198,000

LONDON OFFICE:—1, Moorgate Street.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS - - - - - £6,317,000
INCOME - - - - - £843,000
YEARLY BUSINESS - - - - £3,000,705
BUSINESS IN FORCE - - - £23,680,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %.	30	£1 16 %.	40	£2 10 %.

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c.—Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Full information on application to

THE MANAGER, 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

The Solicitors' Journal

and Weekly Reporter.

LONDON, AUGUST 28, 1909.

* * The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

All letters intended for publication must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	759	LEGAL NEWS	763
THE REMEDIES OF A MORTGAGOR IN POSSESSION	761	WINDING-UP NOTICES	764
REVIEWS	762	CREDITORS' NOTICES	764
		BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	765

Cases Reported this Week.

Hughes v. Clover, Clayton, & Co.	763
Low or Jackson (Pauper) v. General Steam Fishing Co. (Lim.) ..	763
Rex v. Harding	762

Current Topics.

Law-Reform in Parliament.

THE list of Bills which have been dropped by the Government includes the County Courts Bill, and any scheme of reform of our judicial system which depends on extending the facilities for trial in the county court must wait for another year. After the peremptory rejection by the House of Lords of the first clause of the Bill it was hopeless to expect that anything could be done in the present year on the lines of the report of Lord GORELL's Committee, though it is unfortunate that the non-contentious parts of the Bill, which would make provision for the more efficient carrying on of the present work of the county courts could not be passed. The session is a blank also with regard to conveyancing reform, although there are numerous matters which are continually causing difficulty, delay, and expense in conveyancing which could easily be amended by legislation. We believe that the introduction of the Conveyancing and Settled Land Bills this session has been merely formal. The Bills to be dropped, if opposed, include the Child Murder (Trial) Bill, but this represents such a very slight concession to public opinion that it may be doubted whether it is worth while to pass it. To do so might simply postpone a more complete reform of the criminal law on this head. The chief outcome of the session will be the Finance Bill, if that survives the perils yet awaiting it.

The Future of the Finance Bill.

WHEN THE House of Commons resumes the discussion of the Finance Bill next week many points requiring careful consideration will still remain to be dealt with. The land tax clauses constitute the most novel part of the Bill, and these, in spite of all the time spent over them, are by no means disposed of. Much difficulty would have been avoided had the Bill been drafted in the first instance less from the point of view of a Treasury official, and more from that of the public who, while willing to pay taxes, desire that considerations of fairness and convenience should be studied. This would have avoided the extraordinary error of attempting to deprive the tax-payer of the right of appeal to the courts. The mineral rights duty, which represents another change on the part of the Government, cannot be said to be assured, and the charities exemption clause still remains to be discussed. Part II. of the Bill deals with the duties on liquor licences, and these merely represent an increase of duties which have always been recognized as specially suitable for taxation. But with the death duties in Part III. and the stamp duties in Part IV. there are introduced provisions which, quite apart from political considerations, should be strenuously resisted. As regards the death duties, we refer particularly to the provision of clause 43, which brings within the death duties gifts made

within five years of death. This is a proposition made entirely from the Treasury point of view without regard to the widespread inconvenience that it will cause. And as regards the stamp duties we refer particularly to the doubling of the conveyance on sale duty. This is a retrograde measure and is opposed to the policy of the Government both as regards the cheapening of land transfer and the promoting of the sale of land. In regard to both these matters it is not unreasonable to hope that the policy of concession will be displayed which has facilitated to a very considerable extent the progress so far made with the Bill.

The South Africa Bill.

THE SOUTH AFRICA BILL has passed through the House of Commons, without amendment, as we anticipated in our issue of last week would be the case. Notwithstanding the efforts of one section of the House to secure some amendment of the Bill, particularly with respect to the "colour bar," the Government insisted that the Bill must not be touched. The situation was certainly unique, and may be summed up in a sentence from Mr. RAMSAY MACDONALD'S speech: "If the House could suspend their usual forms and pass a general resolution expressing their opinion on the colour bar, the result would, judging by the speeches of that afternoon, be unanimous." Here was the House of Commons deliberately passing into law a measure containing provisions that every member of the House disapproved of, solely because a group of the self-governing dominions had expressed their wish that the measure should be passed. A stronger proof could not be adduced of the real independence of the autonomous States of the Empire and the merely nominal supremacy of the parent State—the United Kingdom. In the case of the Australian Constitution Bill the provisions of the Bill were amended in its passage through Parliament, i.e., those relating to the Privy Council appeals, and the bare fact of an amendment having been made was regarded as of some value. Now a precedent has been introduced for employing the Imperial Parliament as a mere formal registering machine. The passing of the South Africa Bill without amendment in the face of the expressed disapproval of the individual legislators is the most remarkable feature of this remarkable transaction—the union of the South African Colonies.

The Triumph of Unification.

THE UNION of South Africa may now be regarded as certain to be completed, only formal steps being necessary to bring the new Constitution into operation. Unification, as a practical alternative to federation, was only heard of for the first time some three years ago. Now that the views of those who favoured unification as the better solution of the closer union problem have prevailed, we may be allowed to point out that the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL has consistently from the first advocated the unification movement in preference to federation. Both on grounds of economy and ultimate political efficiency we have held, and from time to time pointed out, that a federal system is far inferior to the system now being brought into operation: see our issues of the 3rd November, 1906 (vol. 51, p. 2), 5th October, 1907 (vol. 51, p. 779), 10th October, 1908 (vol. 52, p. 809), besides other references in the current volume.

Husband and Wife.

IT IS interesting to note that the Court of Appeal have held in *Horner v. Bishop* (1909, 2 K. B. 390) that the relation of husband and wife is not within the doctrine of *Huguenin v. Baseley* (14 Ves. 273), so as to raise a presumption of undue influence as regards a document executed by the wife at the husband's request, and to necessitate the obtaining of independent advice. The same view was expressed by COZENS-HARDY, J., in *Barron v. Willis* (1899, 2 Ch. p. 585):—"It is also settled by authority which binds me, although text-writers seem to have adopted the opposite view, that the relation of husband and wife is not one of those to which the doctrine of *Huguenin v. Baseley* applies. In other words, there is no presumption that a voluntary deed executed by a wife in favour of her husband, and prepared by the husband's solicitor, is invalid. The *onus probandi* lies on the party who impugns the instrument, and not on the party who supports

it"; and the learned judge referred to *Nedby v. Nedby* (5 De G. & Sm. 377) and *Grigby v. Cox* (1 Ves. sen. 517). In *Horner v. Bishop* the plaintiff had obtained judgment against a debtor, and it was arranged that the debtor and the two defendants in the present action, who were husband and wife, should give a joint and several promissory note securing the payment of the judgment debt by instalments. The husband procured his wife's signature to the note. She had no independent advice, but it appeared that she understood the nature of the document, and that she knew she was incurring a possible liability for the benefit of the debtor. The jury did not agree as to whether there was undue influence. It was held that there was no presumption of undue influence, so as to make it necessary to shew that the wife had independent advice. It was for the wife, if she alleged undue influence as a ground for avoiding the note, to prove this as a fact, and since she had not secured a finding in her favour on this head she was liable. "It is impossible," said FARWELL, L.J., "now to extend to the relation of husband and wife a doctrine which was devised many years ago for other purposes, and which has never yet been applied to that relation"; and later on he added: "Upon principle, it is clear that business could not go on if in every transaction by way of gift by a wife to her husband the onus were on the husband to shew that the wife had had independent advice; such a position would render married life intolerable."

Theatrical Meaning of "Autumn Tour."

IN THE case of *Hale v. Seymour Hicks*, tried before CHANNELL, J., recently, the court, as in previous cases, admitted external evidence to explain the meaning in which a particular term in a theatrical contract was understood by the parties. In May, 1907, it was proposed to send a company into the provinces for the performance of the musical comedy "My Darling" and the defendant engaged the plaintiff to take a part in it "for the autumn tour." The tour was not successful, and on the 12th of October the defendant terminated the engagement, giving all the performers a fortnight's notice. The plaintiff contended that this was a breach of contract, as an engagement for the autumn tour must be taken to mean a tour lasting till December. The defendant, on the other hand, contended that, by the custom of the theatrical profession, an "autumn tour," if unsuccessful, might be terminated by a fortnight's notice. The evidence of actors who were called to give evidence as to the meaning of "autumn tour" was contradictory, and the jury answered the question left to them by the learned judge—Is there any special meaning in the profession that an "autumn tour" lasts until December, unless specified in the contract?—in the affirmative. There was accordingly judgment for the plaintiff. In *Grant v. Maddox* (15 M. & W. 737), where there was an engagement to perform at a theatre at a weekly salary for three years, evidence was admitted that, by the usage of the theatrical profession, the salary was never paid during the vacation, when the theatre was closed, but only during what was called the theatrical season, just as the expression "days" in a bill of lading is, with regard to the loading or unloading of the cargo, understood to mean "working days." It is unnecessary to say that there is at least as much ambiguity in the term "autumn" as in the term "year," for many persons cannot define with any certainty the dates of the commencement or termination of the seasons of the year. The evidence of the witnesses in the present case supplied, as it were, a dictionary in which the professional meaning of "autumn tour" was given; but there is, of course, nothing to prevent the matter from being again brought under discussion in future litigation.

A Wife's Paraphernalia.

IT IS not often that a question relating to paraphernalia arises, and it might not unnaturally be thought that the doctrine has not survived the Married Women's Property Act, 1882. But it was held to be still in existence in *Tasker v. Tasker* (1895, P. 1), though in the recent case of *Masson Templier & Co. v. Defries* (ante, p. 744) in the Court of Appeal the Master of the Rolls preferred not to express any opinion on the subject. Under the old law a husband might make a gift to his wife by giving property for her separate use, and then he parted

with all
might p
and then
cease to
hers on
"When
(3 Atk.,
wife to h
consider
sequence
upon as
absolutel
Married
husband
possible
so as t
wife's p
nalia. I
not reall
the jury
of the a
were pa
husband
the Rolls
while the
he held
articles
by the h
that they
evidence
was still
existence
the "uni

IN A
to the pe
developm
industrie
which w
The jud
Matilda
distingui
labour m
institute
in a caus
as cook
"was uni
of man's
amongst
the coars
been so
Instance
defence
the distr
women
attached
soldiers
emolumen
the dut
entitles
Realm, h
in the ca
personal
which sh
STOWELL
that a fe
would be
ship that
Here we
body on
formed th
and to ha
favour fo

with all beneficial interest in it; or, without doing this, he might permit his wife to use articles of personal adornment, and then, though they did not belong to her during his life, or cease to be at his disposal, yet, if she survived him, they became hers on his death, subject only to the claims of his creditors. "Where," said Lord HARDWICKE, C., "in *Graham v. Londonderry* (3 Atk., p. 394), the husband expressly gives anything to his wife to be worn as ornaments of her person only, they are to be considered merely as her paraphernalia, and it would be of bad consequence to consider them as otherwise; for if they were looked upon as a gift to her separate use, she might dispose of them absolutely, which would be contrary to his intention." The Married Women's Property Act, 1882, has facilitated gifts from husband to wife, but the same distinction appears to be possible as before the Act between gifts made absolutely, so as to be at the wife's disposal, and gifts made for a wife's personal use only, so as to make the articles her paraphernalia. But in *Masson Templier v. Defries* (*supra*) the question did not really arise, since the husband was living. It was found by the jury in the county court that there had been no absolute gift of the articles to the wife; hence, on this view, whether they were paraphernalia or not, they remained the property of the husband and were liable to be claimed by him. As the Master of the Rolls pointed out, there can be no question of paraphernalia while the husband is alive. But as to the finding of the jury, he held that it could not be supported by the evidence. The articles were dresses bought by the wife out of money supplied by the husband for that purpose, and the *prima facie* view was that they were the wife's property. Against this there was no evidence, and hence on this ground, as well as because the husband was still living, it was unnecessary to consider the continued existence of what JEUNE, P., in *Tasker v. Tasker* (*supra*) called the "unfamiliar if not antiquated" law of paraphernalia.

Women and Men's Work.

IN A recent demonstration in support of the claim of women to the parliamentary franchise, it was evident that the immense development of manufacturing, as contrasted with domestic industries had opened many avenues of work to the female sex which were once exclusively occupied by their male relations. The judgment of Lord STOWELL in the case of *The Jane and Matilda* (1 Hagg. Adm. 187) contains a discussion by this distinguished jurist of the extent to which the offices of man's labour may be performed by women. Proceedings had been instituted in December, 1821, by ELIZABETH STEPHENS, spinster, in a cause of subtraction of wages, which she claimed for services as cook and steward on board a coasting vessel. Lord STOWELL "was unable to blind himself to the notorious fact that many offices of man's labour were performed by women in many countries, and, amongst other countries, even in our own; and man's labour of the coarsest and roughest species. Even military offices have been so performed meritoriously and rewarded on that account. Instances have occurred during the war of women acting in defence of the ships on which they were on board and sharing in the distributions of salvage adjudged. Cases have occurred of women standing by the gun to which their husbands were attached in time of engagement, and of others who have acted as soldiers under the disguise of men and receiving pay and other emoluments of the profession suited to the proper execution of the duty. . . . The sovereignty of these kingdoms, which entitles the bearer to the character of Captain-General of the Realm, has been borne by females with sufficient splendour, and, in the case of Queen ELIZABETH, not without demonstration of personal courage and a readiness to encounter the hostilities with which she was threatened." Looking at these reasons, Lord STOWELL had great difficulty in arriving at the conclusion that a female can be entitled to nothing for that service which would be remunerated in a man. It did not appear to his lordship that the sex alone created a legal and total disqualification. Here were duties performed which had to be performed by somebody on board the ship. Nobody else was proved to have performed them. The claimant was proved to have performed them, and to have performed them well. Hence there was a decree in her favour for the ordinary wages for the service she had performed.

The Remedies of a Mortgagor in Possession.

THE recent decision of the Court of Appeal in *Turner v. Walsh* (1909, 2 K. B. 484) contains an important discussion of the present position of a mortgagor as regards the enforcement of his rights as equitable owner. Prior to the Judicature Acts the mortgagee was at law entitled to all the advantages of his legal ownership, and the mortgagor in possession, although recognized in equity as owner, had no title to sue at law either to recover possession of the mortgaged property, or to recover damages for trespass or breach of contract. And this state of affairs would not have been altered by the fusion of law and equity effected by the Judicature Acts or by the prevalence of the rules of equity thereby established, for the Acts did not abolish the distinction between legal and equitable estates: *Joseph v. Lyons* (15 Q. B. D., p. 286). Accordingly express provision with regard to the enforcement of the rights of a mortgagor in possession was made by section 25 (5) of the Act of 1873. This provides that, until the mortgagee has given notice of his intention to take possession, the mortgagor may sue for possession, or to prevent or recover damages in respect of any trespass or other wrong relative to the land, in his own name only, unless the cause of action arises upon a lease or other contract made by him jointly with any other person.

This provision leaves no doubt that the mortgagor may sue in his own name to recover possession of the property and to prevent or recover damages for trespass; but it stops short of placing the mortgagor in a position of the same advantage as if he were the legal owner. Thus, in *Matthews v. Usher* (1900, 2 Q. B. 535) it was held that it did not give the mortgagor the right to re-enter for breach of the covenants of a lease under which the land was held. *Prima facie* it might have been supposed that this was equivalent to recovering possession, but the Court of Appeal took the objection that the mortgagor had no right, under the circumstances, to recover possession. The title to determine the lease for breach of covenant was in the mortgagee, and it was not competent for the mortgagor to determine it. "The mortgagee alone," said ROMER, L.J., "could elect to re-enter for a forfeiture. In the present case he has not elected to do so. The lease was a subsisting one, and there had been no forfeiture. The mortgagor, therefore, cannot say that he was a person entitled to possession of the land, or able to sue for possession." The decision is on the same lines as the subsequent decision in *Robbins v. Whyte* (1906, 1 K. B. 125), that a mortgagor cannot accept a surrender of a lease, and it illustrates the difficulty of attempting by partial legislation to put a mortgagor in a satisfactory position.

A further illustration is afforded by the present case of *Turner v. Walsh* (*supra*), where it has been held that a mortgagor is not entitled under the above provision of the Judicature Act, 1873, to recover damages in his own name for breach of the covenants of a lease. Before the Judicature Acts he could have sued on his equitable title for an injunction to restrain breach of covenant (*Fairclough v. Marshall*, 4 Ex. D. 37), but damages were not recoverable by suit in equity, and he could not have maintained an action at law; and it was held both by CHANNELL, J., and by the Court of Appeal that no difference in this respect has been made by section 25 (5) of the Judicature Act, 1873. The sub-section refers to "trespass or other wrong" relative to the land; but, as CHANNELL, J., observed, "wrong" is usually used in contradistinction to "contract," and the sub-section does not authorize the mortgagor to sue in his own name for breach of contracts relating to the mortgaged land. Similarly FARWELL, L.J., in delivering the judgment of the Court of Appeal, said: "An action for breach of covenant is not an action in tort, but in contract, a difference that must certainly have been present to the mind of the Legislature in 1873; and we cannot read 'trespass or other wrong relative thereto,' that is, to the demised land, as including an action *ex contractu* for damages for breach of contract."

But the omission of the Judicature Act, 1873, to provide for this case has, it was held by the Court of Appeal, been cured by the more general provision of section 10 of the Conveyancing

Act, 1881. According to that section, which was not discussed before CHANNELL, J., though he pointedly invited discussion of it, "rent reserved by a lease, and the benefit of every covenant or provision therein contained, having reference to the subject matter thereof, and on the lessee's part to be observed or performed, and every condition of re-entry or other condition therein contained" is (1) to be annexed to the reversionary estate in the land, "notwithstanding severance" of such estate, and (2) to be capable of being enforced "by the person from time to time entitled, subject to the term, to the income of the whole or any part" of the land leased. The introduction of the words "notwithstanding severance" of the reversionary estate tend somewhat to confuse the provision, and it would seem that they make the first part apply only where there has been such severance; and indeed, in the absence of severance, this part of the provision is not required. But, as FARWELL, L.J., who delivered the judgment of the Court of Appeal, pointed out, they have not the same effect in the second part of the provision, which applies whether there has been a severance or not. "The words 'whole or any part' shew," he said, "that the latter part of the section is independent of the former, for there can have been no severance when the whole of the land remains. Moreover, it would be absurd to give the right to sue and recover to different persons according as the reversion on the demised premises was severed or not, so that in cases where the reversion had been severed the persons named in this section could recover, but in cases where there had been no such severance they could not."

Hence, as regards the enforcing of covenants, the only question is, who is entitled to the income of the mortgaged property? Until the mortgagee has taken possession or given notice of his intention to take possession, the mortgagor is entitled to receive the income and is under no liability to account for it to the mortgagee. Consequently he is within section 10, and he is entitled to enforce the covenants of the lease; and the section also repairs the omission in section 25 (5) of the Judicature Act, 1873, revealed by *Matthews v. Usher* (*supra*), and enables the mortgagor in possession to take advantage of a forfeiture incurred by the lessee in consequence of breach of covenant. Thus, as regards mortgagors, the Conveyancing Act has come to the help of the Judicature Act, 1873, and has gone far to give the mortgagor, while he remains in possession, the advantages of legal ownership.

Reviews.

Local Government.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAW AND LEGISLATION FOR 1908. Edited by W. H. DUMSDAY, Barrister-at-Law. Hadden, Best & Co.

This book has now been published annually for ten years. The year 1908 was prolific in legislation on the subject, and the activity of the Legislature had its counterpart in the Law Courts. Consequently, Mr. Dumsday's task has been more than usually heavy. It has been done with a thoroughness deserving of all praise. Elaborate notes and expositions of the law are not to be expected in a work of this character; but the complete collection of the Acts of Parliament and the decisions on matters of local government are very useful, and they are supplemented by the addition of the chief orders and circulars of the Departments concerned with the administration of the statutes. Amongst the more important Acts dealt with are the Children Act and the Small Holdings and Allotments Act, the latter a consolidating Act, the former comprising amendment as well as consolidation.

THE LAW RELATING TO COUNTY COUNCIL LICENCES. By THOMAS HYNES and THOMAS JAMESON, Barristers-at-Law. Butterworth & Co.

This book deals with a limited branch of the law, and it seems to us unfortunate that it does not comprise the whole licensing jurisdiction of county councils. But there is no reference in it to the licensing of houses for stage plays (Local Government Act, 1888, s. 7), the granting of licences under the Explosives Act (*ibid.*), or music and dancing licences in Middlesex (57 & 58 Vict. c. 15). As to the licences with

which the book deals (*viz.*, those relating to dogs, male servants, carriages, motor-cars, armorial bearings, guns and game) it is complete and satisfactory: the statutes, orders, and decided cases are fully and accurately treated, and a good account is given of the procedure in courts of summary jurisdiction and on appeal.

DEPARTMENTAL DECISIONS. VOL. I. S. Edgecumbe Rogers.

This little book deals with the decisions in the year 1906 of the Local Government Board, Board of Education, the Home Office, and the Treasury, in matters in which jurisdiction is given to those Departments by various statutes. This jurisdiction has, in our view, been unduly extended of recent years, and the result is a mass of "departmental case law" which is not easily accessible. This work would be a useful guide to the principles (if any) on which the Departments act (for the decisions given are very numerous) were it arranged in a more convenient manner. But the absence of an index to the subjects of decision seriously detracts from its value; it is difficult to find decisions bearing on any particular point without searching through the work. Possibly, in future editions, the editor will consider the desirability of grouping the cases under appropriate headings, or publishing them in the form of a digest.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Court of Criminal Appeal.

REX v. HARDING. 20th Aug.

CRIMINAL LAW—RECEIVING—EVIDENCE OF POSSESSION BY PRISONER OF PROPERTY STOLEN WITHIN PRECEDING TWELVE MONTHS—"PRECEDING PERIOD OF TWELVE MONTHS"—PREVENTION OF CRIMES ACT, 1871 (34 & 35 VICT. c. 112), s. 19.

The words "preceding period of twelve months" in section 19 of the Prevention of Crimes Act, 1871, refer to the twelve months preceding the commencement of the proceedings against the accused, and not to the period preceding the commission of the offence charged in such proceedings.

Application for leave to appeal against a conviction. The applicant Charles Harding was convicted of receiving, well knowing them to have been stolen, three brass locks, the property of the Metropolitan and District Railway Co. In the course of the trial evidence was admitted under section 19 of the Prevention of Crimes Act, 1871, that other property had been found in the applicant's possession which had been stolen during the preceding twelve months. In fact, these latter goods had been stolen at an earlier hour on the same day as that on which those the subject-matter of the charge against the applicant had been received by him. By section 19: "Where proceedings are taken against any person for having received goods knowing them to be stolen, or for having in his possession stolen property, evidence may be given at any stage of the proceedings that there was found in the possession of such person other property stolen within the preceding period of twelve months, and such evidence may be taken into consideration for the purpose of proving that such person knew the property to be stolen which forms the subject of the proceedings taken against him." It was contended on behalf of the applicant that "preceding period of twelve months," in section 19, meant the period preceding the commission of the offence charged. In this case the property found in the applicant's possession was stolen earlier on the same day as that of the commission of such offence. The law took no account of part of a day, so that the goods previously stolen were not stolen during a period preceding the receiving with guilty knowledge by the applicant on the same day. The section did not add, "or the succeeding twelve months," and those words could not be read into it. There was no limit to the time within which this charge could be brought, so that if the words referred to the period preceding the commencement of the proceedings, and these were commenced ten years after the commission of the offence, evidence of the finding in the possession of the accused of property stolen long after the commission of such offence could be given, which would bear hardly on prisoners.

THE COURT (Lord ALVERSTONE, C.J., and DARLING and PHILLIMORS, JJ.) dismissed the appeal, saying that the words referred to the period preceding the commencement of the proceedings. No doubt in the case put of proceedings being commenced long after the offence was committed hardship to prisoners might arise; but that merely shewed that the Act was badly drafted.—COUNSEL, I. A. Symmons; J. P. Grain. SOLICITORS, Registrars of Court of Criminal Appeal; Directors of Public Prosecutions.

[Reported by W. L. L. BELL, Barrister-at-Law.]

CASES OF LAST SITTINGS.

House of Lords.

LOW or JACKSON (Pauper) v. GENERAL STEAM FISHING CO.
(LIM.). 7th and 29th July.

MASTER AND SERVANT—COMPENSATION FOR INJURIES BY ACCIDENT—ACCIDENT ARISING OUT OF AND IN THE COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT—WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT, 1906 (6 Ed. 7, c. 58), s. 1.

A workman was employed to watch trawlers in a harbour. He was on duty for 24 hours, and during that time had to provide himself with food. His duties occasionally required that he should be on the quay to which the trawlers were moored. In the course of his watch he left the boats and went to a public-house close by, where he obtained some refreshment. On his return, while descending a fixed ladder attached to the quay, he fell into the water and was drowned. The arbitrator found that the accident "arose out of and in the course of" the man's employment within the meaning of section 1 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1906, but the Second Division of the Court of Session reversed that decision. His widow appealed to this House.

Held (Lord Loreburn, C., and Lord Gorell dissenting), that there was evidence upon which the arbitrator could find in favour of the widow.

Decision of the Second Division of the Court of Session (1909, S. C. 63) reversed.

Appeal by Mary Ann Low, who at the time that proceedings were commenced was the widow of a man named Jackson. The Second Division of the Court of Session had set aside an award of the sheriff-substitute of £150 in her favour on the ground that Jackson was not in the course of his employment when he met his death. The facts were these: The deceased man was employed by the respondent company to watch trawlers as they lay in Granton Harbour. He was on duty for 24 hours at a time, and he had to provide his own food. In connection with his duties it was occasionally necessary for him to be on the quay. In the course of his watch he left his post and went to a public-house a short distance away, where he got a drink. He was absent only a short time, and on his return, while descending a fixed ladder attached to the quay to get on board one of the trawlers, he slipped, fell into the water, and was drowned.

The appeal was allowed by four lords to two, the dissentients being Lord Loreburn, C., and Lord Gorell.

LORD LOREBURN, C., said that the question must be answered in this case, "Was Jackson on the ladder in the course of his employment or did the accident arise out of that employment?" It seemed to him not to have been so. Every one must be sorry for those who had suffered by this deplorable accident, but he could not find evidence justifying the conclusion of the learned sheriff-substitute.

LORDS ASHBOURNE, JAMES, ATKINSON and SHAW thought that the decision of the sheriff-substitute on the evidence was right, and that the appeal should be allowed.

LORD GORELL's judgment concurred with that of the Lord Chancellor.—COUNSEL, J. R. Christie and Fenton (both of the Scottish Bar), for the appellant: C. A. Russell, K.C., and Jameson (the latter of the Scottish Bar), for the respondents. SOLICITORS, H. G. Davis, for J. B. Mackie, Edinburgh: Pritchard & Sons, for F. J. Martin, W.S., Edinburgh, and James Wallace, Sunderland.

[Reported by ENSKINS REID, Barrister-at-Law.]

Court of Appeal.

HUGHES v. CLOVER, CLAYTON, & CO. No. 2. 21st July.

MASTER AND SERVANT—ACCIDENT—DEATH FROM HEART DISEASE—WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT, 1906 (6 Ed. 7, c. 58), s. 1 (1).

A workman, who was suffering from aneurism of the aorta, in the reasonable and ordinary discharge of his duties, sustained a strain, which caused his death through rupture of the aorta, though the rupture was not caused by an excessive strain, but by the man's condition of body not being able to sustain ordinary exertion.

Held, that this was a case of death by accident within the meaning of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1906.

This was an appeal against an award of the County Court Judge of Lancaster sitting as an arbitrator under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1906. The facts as found by the county court judge were as follows: The applicant was the widow of a workman who was employed by the respondents, who were shipbuilders. On the 9th of March he was assisting in making a condenser bath. He was engaged in tightening a nut with a spanner, pressing down upon the spanner, when a fellow-workman saw his left foot apparently slip forward and he fell back. He tried to recover himself, uttered an exclamation, and fell on his back, striking his head. He was taken aside and found to be dead. On a post-mortem examination it was found that there had existed a very large aneurism of the aorta, and death was attributed to the rupture of the aorta. The aneurism was in such an advanced condition that it might have burst while the man was asleep and very slight exertion or strain would have been sufficient to bring about a rupture. His honour did not think that there was

sufficient evidence to enable him to hold that the deceased man did in fact slip. He came to the conclusion that the exertion of tightening the nut with the spanner caused the rupture. There was no evidence from which any exact conclusion could be drawn as to the extent of strain that was being put on the deceased at the time, but it was at all events not more than ordinary in such work. The evidence, however, satisfied his honour that such strain was sufficient to bring about the rupture of the aneurism, having regard to the man's condition at the time, and he found as a fact that the rupture was so brought about. The death was caused by a strain arising out of the ordinary work of the deceased operating upon a condition of body which was such as to render the strain fatal. In these circumstances his honour considered that on the authorities there was an accident within the meaning of the Act, and he made his award in favour of the applicant. The employers appealed.

THE COURT (COZENS-HARDY, M.R., and FARWELL and KENNEDY, L.JJ.) dismissed the appeal.

COZENS-HARDY, M.R., said that the appeal raised a point which was no doubt one of importance, and which had been clearly stated in the judgment of the county court judge and forcibly called to the attention of the court by the arguments of counsel. [His lordship stated the facts, and continued:] That being so, and taking those facts as conclusive, was this or was it not an accident within the meaning of the words of the Act? In his lordship's opinion, according to the authorities, it obviously was an accident. As he had himself said in his judgment in *Wicks v. Dowell* (1905, 2 K. B. 225), every man brought some disability with him. Exertion which might be entirely innocuous to a man in good health might be extremely harmful to a man who brought a disability with him. In the present case the workman brought with him a serious disability, an aneurism, which his lordship agreed might have caused his death at any time without any unusual exertion on his part. But the court had two facts before it, first, the man incurred a strain in the course of his duties; secondly, the strain caused a rupture of the aorta, from which the man died. Having regard to the decisions of the House of Lords, the case came within the Act. The present case was clearly within the language of Lord Macnaghten in *Fenton's case* (1903, A. C. 443). But the most recent case on the subject (*Imrie & Co. v. Williamson*, 1908, A. C. 437) was a still stronger decision. There a man who was in a weak and emaciated condition died of heat-stroke while working in the stokehold of a steamship. The present Lord Chancellor said in that case: "To my mind the weakness of the deceased which predisposed him to this form of attack is immaterial. The fact that a man who has died from a heat-stroke was by physical debility more likely than others so to suffer can have nothing to do with the question whether what befell him is to be regarded as an accident or not. In the case of *Fenton v. Thorley* the meaning of the word 'accident' was very closely scrutinized. That case stands as a conclusive authority; and I would not depart from it if I could, nor need I repeat what was there said. The only question is of applying the law there laid down to the particular facts of this case. In my view, this man died from an accident. What killed him was a heat-stroke coming suddenly and unexpectedly upon him while at work. Such a stroke is an unusual effect of a known cause often, no doubt, threatened, but generally averted by precautions which experience in this instance had not taught. It was unlooked-for mishap in the course of his employment. In common language, it was a case of accidental death." And Lord Ashbourne, who agreed with the Lord Chancellor, referred in terms of approval to the decision in the Scotch case of *Stewart v. Wilson's and Clyde Coal Co.* (5 F. 120), in which Lord MacLaren said: "If a workman in the reasonable performance of his duties sustains a physiological injury as the result of the work he is engaged in, this is an accidental injury in the sense of the statute." In the present case the workman, in the reasonable and ordinary discharge of his duties, had sustained an injury, a rupture of the aorta. That injury was the result of the work on which he was engaged, and the appeal must, therefore, be dismissed with costs.

FARWELL and KENNEDY, L.JJ.—COUNSEL, Simon, K.C., and Cuthbert Smith; Powell, K.C., and Stewart Brown. SOLICITORS, Barlow, Barlow & Lyde, for J. H. Glover, Liverpool, for the appellants; Helder, Roberts & Co., for J. A. Behn, Liverpool, for the respondent.

[Reported by J. I. STIRLING, Barrister-at-Law.]

Legal News.

General.

The Lord Chief Justice left London on Tuesday for the North of Scotland.

A White Paper, says the *Daily News*, has been published giving the text of a new slavery decree signed by the Sultan of Zanzibar on the 9th of June last. The decree, which amends the former one of 1897, orders that the courts should no longer recognize in any case whatsoever the status of slavery, and transfers their rights and powers under the decree of 1897 to a Commissioner for Slavery. He will have the right to give compensation to all emancipated slaves who, by reason of age, ill-health, or other infirmity, may be unable to earn a living, and are refused support by their former masters. The latter, on the other hand, are not entitled to any compensation for the loss of any right they may sustain under the decree in case of emancipated slaves receiving compensation.

A case under the new Children Act came before the Sheffield magistrates on Tuesday. John Wortley, landlord of the Bramwell Hotel, St. Philip's-road, was summoned for allowing twenty-two children to be in the bar on August Bank Holiday. The evidence showed that the police found a number of women with children in the billiard room of the house. As soon as the officers approached the women handed the children out through the windows. The question for the magistrates was whether a billiard room was a bar within the meaning of the Act. They held that it was, and ordered the landlord to pay £1, including costs.

At Feltham, on Monday, says the *Times*, the occupier of a cottage at Littleton was summoned for the non-payment of rates. The owner said the cottage was one of nine which were all empty and yielded him nothing. The defendant was placed in the cottage as a caretaker to look after the other cottages, and, of course, paid no rent. He did not think in these circumstances rates should be demanded. It would be a great hardship on him if he had to pay rates for property from which he got nothing. The Bench decided that, as the tenant occupied the whole of the cottage, he was in the position of a tenant living rent free, and they made an order for payment of the rates. They agreed to state a case for the Quarter Sessions.

In the House of Commons, on Monday, Mr. Belloc asked the Secretary of State for the Home Department whether his attention had been called to the fact that, after the trial of Von Veltheim, evidence was put in by the police against the prisoner which had no relation to the trial, which the prisoner had no opportunity of meeting or rebutting, and which was peculiarly calculated to influence the case; and whether, seeing that an appeal was not then available to the prisoner, he would reconsider the matter. Mr. Gladstone: It is the ordinary and proper practice, after conviction and before the passing of sentence, for a statement to be made to the judge as to the history and character of the prisoner. This was done in Von Veltheim's case, and he was allowed the opportunity, of which he fully availed himself, of answering the statement. I regret that I can find no grounds that would justify my reopening the case.

A committee of the council of the Central Association of Accountants have prepared a report on the Professional Accountants Bill which has been adopted by the full council. The report recommends that the Bill be strongly opposed, and that efforts should be made to amend it so as to provide (*inter alia*):—“(a) That present and future members of the Central Association of Accountants (Limited) should be entitled to registration as of right, and in all respects receive the same treatment as the members of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales and the Society of Incorporated Accountants and Auditors, and that the name of the Central Association be inserted in the necessary clauses in the Bill; (b) that the Registrar of Professional Accountants should be, or be appointed by, the President of the Board of Trade, or some other public authority; (c) that the Professional Accountants Committee should be elected annually by the registered professional accountants themselves.”

Though the Bar, says the *Globe*, speaking in reference to the late Sir Theodore Martin, can claim more brilliant stars in the literary firmament—it can, for instance, point to Bacon, More, Fielding, Scott, Macaulay, Stevenson, Blackmore, Stanley Weyman, and Anthony Hope—the solicitors' branch of the legal profession can boast of many literary names of high repute. Theobald, the celebrated Shakespearean scholar, whom Pope so unjustly maligned, was an attorney, and so was Sir John Hawkins, the friend and biographer of Dr. Johnson, whom Boswell was always so eager to traduce. Thomas Powell, Alexander Brome, John Tobin, Barry Cornwall, and James Smith (part author of “Rejected Addresses”) are among the dramatists and poets whom the “lower branch” can claim; Robert Pullock and Shirley Brooks are among the novelists who have belonged to it; and William Roscoe, the author of “The Life of Lorenzo de Medici,” and Sharon Turner, who edited the *Quarterly Review* in its earlier days, are among other solicitors who have given up to literature what was originally meant for the law.

Winding-up Notices.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 20.
JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.
LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BIRMINGHAM BRICK CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 7, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to James Arthur Lavell, 151, Farringdon rd, liquidator.
CHARLES NEWBURY, LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 18, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Albert Willmott, 14, Old Jewry chambers, liquidator.
EMPIRE LUBRICATING CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their claims, to W. H. Cochran, 51, North John st, Liverpool. Simson & Co, Liverpool, solvers to the liquidator.
INFUS PACE & CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 2, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Arnold Wood, Whitehall chambers, 23, Colmore row, Birmingham. Innes, Birmingham, solvers to liquidator.
RIVER DIAMONDS SYNDICATE, LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 27, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to George Harmer Johnson, 52, New Broad st, liquidator.
SIR RICHARD GREENVILLE STEAMSHIP CO., LTD (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Aug 25, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Edmund Down Pease, 9, Parade, Plymouth, liquidator.
WILLIAM MOLOREY & CO., LTD (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to J. Alfred S. Hassel, 6, Lord st, Liverpool. Alsop & Co, Liverpool, solvers to liquidator.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, AUG. 24.
JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.
LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

ARGO TRANSPORTATION AND TUNNEL CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 27, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to George Harmer Johnson, 52, New Broad st, liquidator.
COLLEGE CO HARBOURERS, LTD.—Petition for winding up, presented July 24, directed to be heard at the Courts of Justice, Clifford st, York, on Oct 12, at 2.30. Whitman & Buchanan, Ripon, solvers for petitioners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 11.
DIXON & MAWER, LTD (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 8, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Archibald Gailand Mellors, 1, King John's chambers, Bridle-Smith gate, Nottingham, liquidator.
IMPROVED GOLF BALLS CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 5, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Norman Froggatt Kingzett, Elmsted, Brentwood, Bristows & Co, Copthall bridge, solvers for the liquidator.
LOMAQUETA DEVELOPMENT CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before October 5, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to George Thomas Frost, Salisbury House, London Wall, liquidator.
M. ACE & CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 1, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to H. A. McCann, King's House, King st, Cheapside, liquidator.
NORTH UAL MINING CO., LTD.—Petition for winding up, presented Aug 12, directed to be heard Oct 13. Riddale & Son, 5, Gray's inn sq, for Lord, Leeds, petitioner's solicitor. Notice of appearing must reach Riddale & Son not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 12.
SAVILE TOWN CHEMICAL CO., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 27, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts and claims, to Frederick Hineley Lee, 3, Market st, Bradford, liquidator.
VARLEY BROS., LTD.—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 20, to send their names and addresses, with particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas Bromfield Williamson, 55, Cross st, Manchester, liquidator.

Resolutions for Winding-up Voluntarily.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 20.

TERRICE CHINA CLAY CO (1909), LTD.
SOLIDIFIED OIL COAL, LTD.
SUNSHI JONG RUBBER CO., LTD.
NORTHERN ASBESTS CO., LTD.
SMOKE PREVENTER CO., LTD.
ESSEX AND SUFFOLK ACCIDENT INDEMNITY SOCIETY, LTD.
WOMEN'S DINING ROOMS, LTD.
W. T. NORRISFIELD, LTD.
T. H. BALE & CO., LTD.
BIRMINGHAM BRICK CO., LTD.
NEW ABBEYSTWYTH BRICK CO., LTD.
EMPIRE LUBRICATING CO., LTD.
LES MINES DE CUIVRE PILOT, LTD.
DICKENS MANUFACTURING CO., LTD.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, AUG. 24.

GRANTHAM PHARMACY CO., LTD.
ROWLANDS SHEPTON, LTD.
JOHN GRINDROD, LTD.
QUEEN'S HOTEL CO (UPPER NORWOOD), LTD.
BIRMINGHAM BRICK CO., LTD.
SEAS STEAMSHIP INSURANCE ASSOCIATION
EDISON ORE-MILLING SYNDICATE, LTD.
STANDARD CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, LTD.
MAIDSTONE SOCIAL INSTITUTE, LTD.
SPANISH INVESTMENT CO., LTD.
POOLE AND DISTRICT MUTUAL AND BENEFICIAL PLATE GLASS INSURANCE CO., LTD
SHIP "FORREST HALL," LTD.
WARRICK (BROOKFIELD) COAL RAILWAY AND EXPLORATION CO., LTD (RECONSTRUCTION)
SHIPLEY COKE OVEN AND ENGINEERING CO., LTD
GRIFFITHS BROS., LTD.
KILBURN CYCLE CO., LTD
ANTANINA SYNDICATE, LTD

Creditors' Notices.

Under Estates in Chancery.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 20.

EGAN ROBERT, High rd, Kilburn, Horse Contractor Sept 30 Booth's Distillery, Ltd v Egan, Eve, J Marchant, Devonshire sq

Under 22 & 23 Vict. cap. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 20.

ACKERY, JOHN, Queen Anne st, Cavendish sq, MRS Sept 30 Bowman & Curti Hayward, Arundel st, Strand
ALLEN, FRANCES, Headorf, Derby Oct 7 Stanton & Walker, Chesterfield
ANDERSON, EDWARD GEORGE LAUGHTON, Kingston Hill, Surrey Oct 6 Wickes & Knight, Finsbury House, Blomfield st
ANDERSON, JANE ELIZABETH, East Sheen, Surrey Sept 30 Sanderson & Co, Queen Victoria st
BAKER, SAMUEL, Dimidster, Somerset, Farmer Sept 29 Baker & Duke, Ilminster
BEAN, HARRIET EMILY, Birdhurst rd, 8 Croydon Sept 28 Seattle & Morrison, Victoria st, Westminster
BECKWITH, ROBERT, Castleton, York Sept 30 Dees & Thompson, Newcastle upon Tyne
BERKE, EMMA SARAH, Loughborough pk Brixton Sept 20 Mote & Son, Gray's inn sq
BURNETT, MARIANNE ELIZABETH, East Molesey, Surrey Sept 30 Jann & Son, Gracechurch st
BOURNE, HORACE, Wigan, Colliery Agent Sept 15 Stanton & Sons, Chorley
BRODIE, HENRIETTA LAVINIA KAY, Rhodes, nr Middleton, Lancaster Sept 30 Innes, Manchester
BROOKSBANK, KATE MARY, West Bournemouth Oct 1 Guscott & Co, Essex st, Strand
BROWN, THOMAS LAND, Buckingham, Chemist Sept 21 Heaton & Hearn, Buckingham
CANBROOK, DONALD, Lucknow, Oudh, India Nov 30 Guedalla & Cross, Winchester House, Old Broad st
CRADDOCK JOSEPH, Breton, Stafford Oct 1 Armistead, Rugeley, Staffs
DAVES, JOHN THOMAS, Prestatyn, Flint, Mining Engineer Oct 1 Hughes & Hughes, Flint
FRASER, THERRISA ELIZA ISABELLA, St Leonard's on Sea Oct 1 Guscott & Co, Essex st
GARDINER, MARY, Harrington rd, 8 Norwood Sept 30 Odham, Ludgate hill
GILBERT, GEORGE, Gateshead, Boot Merchant Sept 30 Bird & Sons, Newcastle on Tyne

GREATRICH, EYE, Kingston on Thames Sept 21 Wilde, Victoria st
HAY, SAMUEL FIELDING, Littleborough, Lancs Sept 21 Heywood & Co, Manchester
HEAD, ROY JOHN BICKFORD, Bath Sept 13 Reeves & Sons, Dublin
HESLOP, ELIZABETH, Hexham Sept 15 L C & H F Lockhart, Hexham
HOLDEN, GEORGE FREDERICK, Oldham Oct 1 Ashcroft & Co, Oldham
HORNBY, JOHN, Blackburn Sept 18 Marsden & Marsden, Blackburn
JANAWAY, JAMES EDMUND, Larkhall in, Clapham Sept 23 Morton & Patterson, Old
Jewry chambers
JUDGE, WILLIAM GIBBARD, Folkestone Sept 17 Fortescue, Banbury
KELLY, KENNA, Sunderland, Public Caterer Sept 20 J D & D M Macdonald, Newcastle
upon Tyne
LEMON, EDWARD BOWLING, Southend on Sea Sept 29 Brighton & Lemon, Crutched
Friars
LOCKWOOD, FRANCIS, Thornhill, Yorks Oct 2 Scholefield & Son, Dewsbury
MARHAM, ELIZABETH, Lodge av, Fulham rd Sept 20 Myatt, Crutched Friars
MURRAY, RONALD RAYMOND, Maidenhead Oct 1 Lotings & Cardinall, Maidenhead
PARRIS, MARY HOOD, Stratford on Avon Aug 31 Phillips, Stratford on Avon
PARRIS, EMILY JANE LANGFORD, Chesham walk, Chelsea Oct 1 Lee & Pemberton, Lincoln's inn fields
PINFOLD, JOHN WORNHAM, Gt George st, Westminster Sept 20 Pridcaux & Sons, Goldsmiths' Hall
PRICE, EDWIN, Reading Sept 29 H & C Collins, Reading
PRICE, ELIZA ANN, Bulth, Brecon Nov 1 Vaughan, Bulth
PRICE, TOM VAUGHAN, Bulth, Brecon, Auctioneer Nov 1 Vaughan, Bulth
RITCHIE, ELIZABETH, Royal hill, Greenwich Sept 8 Pritchard & Sons, Gracechurch st
ROACH, CHARLES, Neyland, Pembroke Sept 1 Williams, Haverfordwest
ROADWAY, MARY ANN, Ventnor, I of W Sept 29 Miller & Steele, St Stephen's chambers, Telegraph st
SALVIN, AGNES JANE, Durham Sept 30 Dees & Thompson, Newcastle upon Tyne
SPENCER, ARTHUR, Leicester Sept 29 Williams, Leicester
SPILSBURY, REV BENJAMIN WARD, Findera, Derby Oct 2 Smith & Co, Ashby de la
Zouch
STANCOMBE, CHARLES ERNEST, Exeter, Commercial Traveller Sept 16 Friend & Tarbet, Exeter
STARKER, EBERHARD, Northampton, Boot Dealer Sept 18 Shoosmith & Sons, Northampton
STAVELY, FRANCIS, Bury St Edmunds, Johnmaster Sept 15 Woolnough & Co, Bury St Edmunds
TENPST, SIR THISTRAM TENPST, Tong Hall, nr Bradford, Yorks Oct 1 Evans & Co, Gray's inn sq
THOMPSON, ALBERT, Stratford, Essex, Railway Labourer Sept 29 Smart, Cambridge
WAGGOTT, JOHN FRANCIS, Cleveland gdns, Paddington Oct 1 Guscotte & Co, Essex st, Strand

WARREN, PHILIP SOMERVILLE, Headcorn, Kent Sept 25 Stanning & Co, Tonbridge
WELCH, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Cheltenham Sept 7 Titchhurst & Co, Cheltenham
WINDER, JOHN BENZ, Birmingham, Chemical Manufacturer Sept 1 Shakspeare, Birmingham
WOOD, ELIZABETH, Batley, York Aug 31 Peas, Dewsbury
London Gazette.—TUESDAY, AUG. 24.
ALLEN, WILLIAM, Wilton Gilbert, Durham Sept 14 Chambers, Durham
ANCHER, THOMAS, Kew Gardens, Surveyors Sept 18 Coote & Ball, Cursitor
st, Chancery ln
BLEW, MARY AMELIA, Shanklin, I of W Sept 6 Matthews, Shanklin
BRADFIELD, MARY MILTON, Church rd, Islington Oct 5 Norris & Norris, Bedford row
BROWN, MARGARET, North Shields Sept 20 Arnott & Co, Newcastle upon Tyne
CARD, GEORGE, Southborough, Kent, Market Gardener Sept 24 Bus, Tunbridge Wells
DAVIES, ROBERT HEDGOS EYRE, St Leonards on Sea Sept 27 Clark, Croydon
DUNNING, REV WILLIAM BEATSON, Wyberton Rectory, Lincoln Oct 18 Barton & Co, Stonebow, Lincoln
ELEY, SARAH, Buxton, Derby Oct 31 Taylor, Buxton
EVANS, WILLIAM, Horfield Common, Bristol Sept 20 Slinott & Son, Bristol
FERITAS, CARLOS PEDRO DE, Hamburg, Germany, Merchant Oct 15 Bender & Higgs, Mining ln
FRIEND, JAMES, Brighton Sept 30 Clifton, New st, Lincoln's inn
GETTY, WILLIAM, Waterloo, Liverpool, Merchant Sept 30 Toulmin & Co, Liverpool
GOODLAD, HARRIET MARIA, Sheffield Sept 25 Farnell, Sheffield
HENDRY, ELIZA, Cowes, I of W Oct 11 Eldridge & Sons, Newport, I of W
LAWRENCE, JOSEPH, Brook st, Kennington rd, Music Hall Artists Sept 29 Rutter & Marshall, George, Scalby, York Aug 24 Watts & Co, Scarborough
MORGAN, ELIZABETH, Preston Sept 1 Smith & Parakeley, Preston
PACKE, EDWARD, Bromley, Kent Sept 14 Winter & Martin, Swaffham, Norfolk
PARULEY, JOHN EDWARD, Sheffield, Marine Store Dealer Sept 30 Newson & Bians, Sheffield
PEACOCK, ARTHUR HENRY, Belvedere, Kent, Cab Proprietor Oct 20 Stone, Powis st, Woolwich
PICKERING, CAROLINE ANATOLIE, Morton rd, Islington Sept 30 Emanuel & Simmonds, Finsbury circus
RUCKEL, DENNIS EDWIN, Old Broad st Oct 1 Samuel & Co, Gt Winchester st
UPCOTT, JOHN SAMUEL, Cullompton, Devon Sept 18 Ford & Co, Exeter
VANSITTART, THE HON RACHAEL FANNY ANNE, Bromley Park, Kent Oct 5 Robins & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
WADDINGTON, MARIA, Wibsey, Bradford Sept 21 Beldon & Ackroyd, Bradford
WATKINSON, HENRY, Huddersfield Sept 30 Sykes, Huddersfield
WATT, MARY ANN, Bisle, Gloucester Sept 29 Witchell & Sons, Stroud

Bankruptcy Notices.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 20.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ADAMS, ALFRED MONCKTON, Littleport, Cambridge, Motor and Cycle Agent Cambridge Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
BAINER, RICHARD TURNER, Urnston, Lancs, Journalist Salford Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
BATTER, FRANK CHARLES, Ironmonger row, Old st, Traveler High Court Pet June 21 Ord Aug 18
BLITH, FRANK, Lowestoft, Confectioner Great Yarmouth Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
BRAGO, HARRY, Kingfield, Surrey, Baker Guildford Pet July 28 Ord Aug 17
BRANDON, ERNEST AUSTIN, Devonshire chmbrs, Bishopsgate st, Manufacturers' Agent High Court Pet July 23 Ord Aug 16
BROOK-MEARS, ADELONDE GREGORINA HILDA VICTORIA, Oxford ter, Hyde Park, Boarding house Keeper High Court Pet July 3 Ord Aug 18
BURNETT, S. & Co, Jewin st, Leather Merchants High Court Pet July 23 Ord Aug 16
CLAASSEN, RICHARD, Fleet st High Court Pet May 29 Ord Aug 16
CLIFFORD, G. H., Northcott av, Wood Green, Lighterman High Court Pet July 26 Ord Aug 16
CUTTS, HENRY, Wolverhampton, Draper Wolverhampton Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
DI VILLA, F. M., Folkestone, Cycle Agent Canterbury Pet Aug 4 Ord Aug 18
FURMAN, HARRIS, Black Lion yd, Whitechapel, Salesman High Court Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
GOLD, WILLIAM, Thorne Falcon, Somerset, Farmer Taunton Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
GOODMAN, JOHN EDDIE, New Holland, Lincoln, Butcher Great Grimsby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
GREENFELL, WILLIAM, St Ives, Cornwall, Plumber Truro Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
HALL, ARTHUR DENNISON, Doncaster, Pork Butcher Sheffield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
HAMER BROS, Swinton, Lancs, Joiners Salford Pet July 29 Ord Aug 16
HEAD, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Buckfastleigh, Devon, Coal Dealer Plymouth Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
HOBBS, RICHARD, York, Labourer York Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
HUSSELL, JAMES, Birmingham, Tobaccoist Birmingham Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
IPOULD, EDWIN, Reading, Retired Butcher Reading Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
JAMES, DAVID, Pontardulais, Glam, Engineman Swansea Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
JOHN, JOHN RICHARD, Brynmaman, Glam, Provision Dealer Carmarthen Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
JONES, STEPHEN, Coychurch, nr Bridgend, Glam, Farmer Cardiff Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
KNOWLES, JAMES, Colne, Lancs, Weaver Burnley Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
LEWIS, THOMAS HAMPTON, Long acre, Engineer High Court Pet July 29 Ord Aug 18
LETTLEWOOD, EDGAR ALBERT, Gt Yarmouth, Manager Gt Yarmouth Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
LLOYD, ELLER, Chobson on Medlock, Manchester Manchester Pet July 27 Ord Aug 16
MASTERS, FREDERICK JOHN, Wickhambreau, Kent, Wheelwright Canterbury Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
MILES, DAVID, Walm in, Cricklewood, Commercial Traveller High Court Pet June 23 Ord Aug 18
OWSTON, EDWIN WILLIAM, Stroud Green rd, Finsbury Park, Fruiterer High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
PALMER, GEORGE STUART, Bromley, Kent High Court Pet Feb 15 Ord Aug 18

STUCKEY, GEORGE WYATT, Clevedon, Somerset, Stationer Bristol Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
THOMAS, CHARLES, Birmingham, Metal Manufacturer Birmingham Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
TIER, EDWARD, North Southsea, Hants, Corn Merchant Portsmouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
TOWNSEND, OLIVER CROMWELL, Rugby, Manufacturer Coventry Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 17
WHITE, EDWIN GEORGE, Long Stratton, Norfolk, Wool Merchant Ipswich Pet June 30 Ord July 23
WILLIAMS, EVAN HARRIE, Merioneth, Contractor Portmadoc Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
WILSON, SAMUEL, St Anne's on the Sea, Lancs, Joiner Preston Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
WRIGHT, SIDNEY, New North rd, Boot Dealer High Court Pet July 28 Ord Aug 19

Amended Notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 10:

CALVERT, LOUIS, Derby, Tailor Derby Pet July 27 Ord Aug 6

FIRST MEETINGS.

ADAMS, ALFRED MONCKTON, Littleport, Cambridge, Motor and Cycle Agent Aug 30 at 12 Lamb Hotel, Ely
BATTER, FRANK CHARLES, Ironmonger row, Old st, Traveller Aug 31 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
BOTT, FRANK JOSEPH, Wolverhampton, Baby Carriage Manufacturer Sept 3 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
BRAGO, HARRY, Kingfield, Surrey, Baker Aug 30 at 11.30 132, York rd, Westminster Bridge
BRANDON, ERNEST AUSTIN, Devonshire chmbrs, Bishopsgate st, Manufacturers' Agent Sept 1 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
BREWSTER, CYRIL THEODORE, Wolverhampton, Corn Merchant Sept 3 at 11.30 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
BROWN, BENJAMIN, Tenbury, Worcester, Licensed Victualler Aug 30 at 12 Lion Hotel, Kidderminster
BROWN, MATTHEW, Newtown, Glynneath, Glam, Collier Aug 28 at 10.30 Off Rec, Government bldg, St Mary's st, Swansea
BURNETT, S. & Co, Jewin st, Leather Merchants Aug 31 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
CALDIOTT, EDWARD JAMES, Bradley, Stafford, Joiner Sept 1 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
CLAASSEN, RICHARD, Fleet st Aug 31 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
CLIFFORD, G. H., Northcott av, Wood Green, Lighterman Aug 30 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
COOK, JAMES WILLIAM, Hafodynny, Mon, Collier Aug 30 at 11.30 Off Rec, 144, Commercial st, Newport, Mon
COWTHRE, SAMUEL, and JAMES WILLIAM COWTHRE, Churchwell, Yorks, Threshing Contractors Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds
DAVIES, PHINEAS, Liverpool, Clothier Aug 31 at 11.30 Off Rec, 55, Victoria st, Liverpool
DEWEY, HENRY THOMAS, Oxide, Little Downham, Cambridge, Shepherd Aug 30 at 11.30 Lamb Hotel, Ely
FENTON, FREDERICK JAMES, Southend on Sea, Essex, Retired Butcher Aug 31 at 3 14, Bedford row
FURMAN, HARRIS, Black Lion yd Whitechapel, Salesman Sept 1 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
HALL, ARTHUR DENNISON, Wombwell, York, Pork Butcher Sept 1 at 12 Off Rec, Figs in la, Sheffield
HARRISON, DAVID, Barking, Essex, Corn Dealer Aug 31 at 12 14, Bedford row
HEAD, JAMES MURRAY, Worthing, Plumber Sept 1 at 11.30 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldg, Brighton
HOBBS, RICHARD, York, Labourer Aug 30 at 3 Off Rec, The Red House, Duncombe pl, York
IPOULD, EDWIN, Reading Aug 30 at 12 Queen's Hotel, Reading
JOHNSON, FRANK, Darnall, Sheffield, Shop Assistant Sept 1 at 11.30 Off Rec, Figs in la, Sheffield

JONES, GEORGE, and ELIZABETH DAVIES, Kingston, Hereford, Coach Builders Aug 28 at 1.40 Burton House Hotel, Kingston
OFFENHEIM, PHILIP BARNETT, East Boldon, Durham, Ship Aug 28 at 11 Off Rec, 30, Moyley st, Newcastle on Tyne
OWSTON, EDWIN WILLIAM, Stroud Green rd, Finsbury Park, Fruiterer Sept 1 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
PAUL, JOHN, Smallthorpe, Stafford, Journeyman Tailor Aug 30 at 11.30 Off Rec, King st, Newcastle, Staffs
ROBINSON, THOMAS, Newport, Mon, Shoe Repairer Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, 144, Commercial st, Newport, Mon
RYAN, MATTHEW, Liverpool, Grocer Aug 31 at 11 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
SMITH, JOSEPH HENRY, Wolverhampton, Tailor Sept 1 at 11 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
THREE, JAMES ERNEST, Wisbech, Cambridge, Baker Aug 28 at 1 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
TIER, EDWARD, Northsea, Hants, Corn Merchant Aug 30 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st, Portsmouth
TUCKER, JOHN, Wolverhampton, Coal Merchant Sept 1 at 11.30 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
VICARS, WILLIAM, Reading, Butcher Aug 30 at 3 Queen's Hotel, Reading
WAINWRIGHT, ALICE, Keighley, York, Yarn Spinner Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corporation st, Dewsbury

ADJUDICATIONS.

ABRAHAM, HENRY, Calcott rd, Kilburn, Wholesale Jeweller High Court Pet June 16 Ord Aug 17
ADAMS, ALFRED MONCKTON, Littleport Cambridge, Motor and Cycle Agent Cambridge Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
ANDERSON, GORDON JAMES BROAD, Arnold rd, Tooting Junction, Journalist Croydon Pet July 15 Ord Aug 18
BLITH, FRANK, Lowestoft, Confectioner Great Yarmouth Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
CLARKE, JOHN GAY, Bishopgate st Without High Court Pet July 1 Ord Aug 16
DEWEY, HENRY THOMAS, Little Downham, Cambridge, Shepherd Cambridge Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 17
DOUBBS, HENRY, Bridge rd, Stratford High Court Pet July 21 Ord Aug 18
DUBBINS, WALTER, Liverpool, Tobacco Dealer Liverpool Pet July 24 Ord Aug 15
FENTON, FREDERICK JAMES, Southend on Sea Chelmsford Pet July 22 Ord Aug 16
FURMAN, HARRIS, Black Lion yd, Whitechapel, Salesman High Court Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
GOODMAN, JOHN EDDIE, New Holland, Lincoln, Butcher Gt Grimsby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
GREENFELL, WILLIAM, St Ives, Cornwall, Plumber Truro Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
HALL, ARTHUR DENNISON, Wombwell, York, Pork Butcher Sheffield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
HARDY, JOHN CRAIG, Bolton st, Piccadilly High Court Pet May 25 Ord Aug 14
HARGREAVES, LOUD, Coventry, Schoolmaster Coventry Pet July 27 Ord Aug 16
HEAD, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Buckfastleigh, Devon, Coal Dealer Plymouth Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
HOBBS, RICHARD, Howarth, York, Labourer York Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
IPOULD, EDWIN, Reading Reading Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
JAMES, DAVID, Pontardulais, Glam, Engineman Swansea Pet Aug 17 Pet Aug 17
JONES, GEORGE, and ELIZABETH DAVIS, Kingston, Hereford, Coach Builders Leominster Pet Aug 6 Ord Aug 16
JONES, JOHN RICHARD, Brynmaman, Glam, Provision Dealer Carmarthen Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17

JONES, STEPHEN, Coychurch, nr Bridgend, Glam, Farmer
Cardiff Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
KNOWLES, JAMES, Colne, Lancaster, Weaver Burnley Pet
Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
LAMBERT, FREDERICK JAMES, Fenchurch st High Court
Pet July 19 Ord Aug 17
MARTIN, JAMES, East Dulwich rd, High Court Pet July
19 Ord Aug 17
MASTER, FREDERICK JOHN, Wickhambrook, Kent, Wheel
wright Canterbury Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
MILLS, ARTHUR, and WALTER EMERY MILLS, Birmingham
Grocers Birmingham Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 17
OWTON, EDWIN WILLIAM, Stroud Green rd, Finsbury
Park, Fruiterer High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
PHILLIPS, STEPHEN, Ashford, Middlesex, High Court Pet
June 23 Ord Aug 18
ROBINSON, CHARLES HENRY, Chandos st, Traf Accountant
High Court Pet July 24 Ord Aug 14
ROCHETTI, GUISeppe CHASE, West Smithfield, Provision
Merchant High Court Pet June 18 Ord Aug 14
SHERRIDAN, FORDYCE JAMES, Ingham ct, Fenchurch st,
Merchant High Court Pet May 25 Ord Aug 17
SIMMONS, SIMON, Jervis st, Leather Merchant High
Court Pet July 23 Ord Aug 19
STUCKEY, GEORGE WYATT, Clevedon, Somerset, Stationer
Bristol Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
THOMAS, CHARLES, Birmingham, Metal Manufacturer
Birmingham Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
THORNTON, FREDERICK LAWRENCE, Gloucester pl, Portman
sq, Traveller High Court Pet July 8 Ord Aug 18
TIER, EDWARD, Southsea, Hants, Corn Merchant Ports-
mouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
WILLIAMS, EVAN, Hayleth, Merioneth, Contractor Ports-
mouth Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
WILSON, SAMUEL, St Anne's on the Sea, Joiner Preston
Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17

Amended Notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of July 27 :

WHEELER, GEORGE HARRY STEPHEN, Carmichael rd,
South Norwood, Confectioner Croydon Pet July 16
Ord July 22

Amended Notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of Aug 10 :

CALVERT, LOUIS, Derby, Tailor Derby and Long Eaton
Pet July 27 Ord Aug 6

Amended Notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of Aug 13 :

SIXSMITH, ALBERT EDWARD, Moss Side, Manchester, Clerk
Salford Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug. 24.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BAGSHAW, JANE, Holymoorside, nr Chesterfield, Innkeeper
Chesterfield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 20
BROWN, WILLIAM, WILLIAM FRED BROWN, GEORGE
ALBERT BROWN, FRANK CECIL BROWN, and CHARLES
EVELYN BROWN, Salford, Lancs, Builders Salford
Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
CARTER, ALFRED, Leeds, Wholesale Provision Merchant
Leeds Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
CAWTHORNE, CLIFFORD, Windhill, Yorks, Egg and Yeast
Merchant Bradford Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
COHEN, LASSER, Leeds, General Dealer Leeds Pet Aug
18 Ord Aug 18
CORRY, MARGARET FRANCES, Worthing Windsor Pet Aug
21 Ord Aug 21
CRAMP, WILLIAM, Honiton, Devon, Outfitter's Traveller
Exeter Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
DINMICK, AUGUSTUS B VINCENT, New st, Lincoln's inn,
Barrister High Court Pet July 15 Ord Aug 20
DIXON, GEORGE WILLIAM, Ilkley, York, Shoeing Smith
Leeds Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
FOSTER, JOHN FREDERICK BARTLETT, King's Lynn, Nor-
folk, Tobaccoist King's Lynn Pet Aug 19 Ord
Aug 19
HALL, M A CAROLINE, Buckfastleigh, Devon Plymouth
Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 19
JAMES, ERNEST EDWARD, Brithdir, Glam, General Dealer
Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
JOHNSTONE, JOHN CHARLES, Walsall, Painter Walsall Pet
Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
MASSEY, JOHN, Newcastle under Lyme, Grocer Hanley
Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19

MILLWARD, EDGAR WILLIAM, Swanage, Dorset, Grocer
Poole Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
REED, FRANK AUGUSTUS, South Norwood, Builder Croy-
don Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
RITCHIE, JOHN THOMAS, Southport, Lancs, Commission
Agent Liverpool Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
ROBERTS, SAMUEL CURRY, Neath, Glam, Draper Neath Pet
Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
ROOBER, WILLIAM, Farnedale, Glam, Engine Driver Ponty-
pridd Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
SMITH, GEORGE, Birmingham, Coachbuilder Birmingham
Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
TAYLOR, CHARLES HENRY, Liversedge, York, Colliery
Proprietor Dewsbury Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
TIDDING, WILLIAM, Folkestone, Photographer Canterbury
Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
WOLFSON, JACOB, Birmingham, Dealer in Gas Fittings
Birmingham Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 18
WOODING, FREDERICK, Rushden, Northampton, Grocer
Northampton Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

FIRST MEETINGS.

BAINES, RICHARD TURNER, Urmoston, Lancs, Journalist
Sept 1 at 2.30 Off Rec, Byron st, Manchester
BARNETT, ALBERT, Wolverhampton, Motor Wind Shield
Manufacturer Sept 7 at 11.30 Off Rec, Wolver-
hampton
BLITH, FRANK, Lowestoft, Confectioner Sept 1 at 12 Off
Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
BROOK-MEARES, ADELGOUNG GEORGINA HILDA VICTORIA,
Oxford terr, Hyde Park, Boarding house Keeper
Sept 8 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
BURNAN, REUBEN, Runcorn, Cheshire, General Dealer
Sept 1 at 3 Off Rec, Byron st, Manchester
CARTER, ALFRED, Leeds, Wholesale Provision Merchant
Sept 2 at 11 Off Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds
COHEN, LASSER, Leeds, General Dealer Sept 1 at 11 Off
Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds
CRAMP, WILLIAM, Honiton, Devon, Outfitter's Traveller
Sept 9 at 10.30 9, Bedford circus, Exeter
DINMICK, AUGUSTUS B VINCENT, New st, Lincoln's inn,
Barrister Sept 9 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
DIXON, GEORGE WILLIAM, Ilkley, York, Shoeing Smith
Sept 1 at 12 Off Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds
DUBERAIN, WALTER, Liverpool, Tobacco Dealer Sept 1 at 11
Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
GOLD, WILLIAM, Thorne Falcon, Somerset, Farmer
Sept 4 at 2.30 10, Hammet st, Taunton
GOODMAN, JOHN EDDIE, New Holland, Lincoln, Butcher
Sept 2 at 10.30 Off Rec, St Mary's church, Great
Grimsby
HEAD, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Buckfastleigh, Devon, Coal
Dealer Sept 2 at 11 7, Buckland ter, Plymouth
HOWELL, MORRIS, Saundersfoot, Pembroke, Haulier
Sept 7 at 12 Temperance Hall, Pembroke Dock
JONES, JOHN RICHARD, Brynmaman, Glam, Provision
Dealer Sept 1 at 11 Off Rec, 4, Queen st, Carmarthen
JONES, STEPHEN, Coychurch, nr Bridgend, Glam, Farmer
Sept 1 at 3 Off Rec, 117, St Mary st, Cardiff
KEMP, WALTER JAMES, Calvert rd, South Tottenham,
Laundry Proprietor Sept 1 at 12 14, Bedford row
KEY, VINCENT EDWARD, Cambridge, Hardware Factor
Sept 1 at 11 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cury, Cambridge
KNOWLES, JAMES, Colne, Lancs, Weaver Sept 1 at 11.15
Off Rec, 18, Winckley st, Preston
LEWIS, THOMAS HAMPTON, Long acre, Engineer Sept
2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
MARSH, JAMES, Heath Town, nr Wolverhampton, Green-
grocer Sept 7 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
MASSEY, JOHN, Newcastle under Lyme, Grocer Sept 1 at
11.30 Off Rec, King st, Newcastle, Staffs
MILCH, DAVID, Walm in, Cricklewold, Commercial
Traveller Sept 2 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
MILLS, ARTHUR, and WALTER EMERY MILLS, Birmingham,
Grocers Sept 2 at 11.30 Ruskin chmbr, 191, Corpora-
tion st, Birmingham
MILLWARD, EDGAR WILLIAM, Swanage, Dorset, Grocer
Sept 1 at 3 100, High st, Poole
PALMER, GEORGE STUART, Bromley, Kent Sept 1 at 11
Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
REED, FRANK AUGUSTUS, South Norwood, Builder Sept
at 11.30 132, York rd, Westminster Bridge
ROBERTS, SAMUEL CURRY, Neath, Glam, Draper Sept 1 at
11.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

WATSON, ERNEST JOHN, Badsey, Worcester, Commer-
cial Clerk Sept 1 at 12 Off Rec, 11, Copenhagen st,
Worcester
WILSON, SAMUEL, St Anne's on the Sea, Lancs, Joiner
Sept 1 at 11.30 Off Rec, 13, Winckley st, Preston
WOODING, FREDERICK, Rushden, Northampton, Grocer
Sept 2 at 11 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
WRIGHT, SIDNEY, New North rd, Boot Dealer Sept 1 at 12
Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
WRIGHT, HANNAH, South Shore, Blackpool Sept 1 at 11
Off Rec, 18, Winckley st, Preston

ADJUDICATIONS.

BAGSHAW, JANE, Holymoorside, nr Chesterfield, Innkeeper
Chesterfield Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 20
BAINES, RICHARD TURNER, Urmoston, Lancs, Journalist
Salford Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 20
BRACEY, MORRIS, Stokenchurch, Buckingham, Farmer
Aylesbury Pet July 17 Ord Aug 20
CARTER, ALFRED, Leeds, Wholesale Provision Merchant
Leeds Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
CAWTHORNE, CLIFFORD, Windhill, Yorks, Egg and Yeast
Merchant Bradford Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
COHEN, LASSER, Leeds, General Dealer Leeds Pet Aug 18
Ord Aug 18
CORRY, MARGARET FRANCES, Worthing Windsor Pet
Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
CRAMP, WILLIAM, Honiton, Devon, Outfitter's Traveller
Exeter Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
DI VILLA, FELIX MARTIN, Folkestone, Cycle Agent
Canterbury Pet Aug 4 Ord Aug 20
DIXON, GEORGE WILLIAM, Ilkley, Yorks, Shoeing Smith
Leeds Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18
FOSTER, JOHN FREDERICK BARTLETT, King's Lynn, Nor-
folk, Tobaccoist King's Lynn Pet Aug 19 Ord
Aug 19
HALL, ARTHUR HENRIETTA, Boscombe, Hants, Draper Poole
Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 20
HOWELL, MORRIS, Saundersfoot, Pembroke, Haulier
Pembroke Dock Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 20
HUSSELL, JAMES, Birmingham, Tobaccoist Birmingham
Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 19
JAMES, ERNEST EDWARD, Brithdir, Glam, General Dealer
Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
LITTLEWOOD, EDGAR ALBERT, St Yarmouth, Manager St
Yarmouth Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 21
MASSEY, JOHN, Newcastle under Lyme, Grocer Hanley
Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
OPPENHEIM, PHILIP BARNETT, Tyne Dock, South Shields,
Ship Agent Newcastle on Tyne Pet July 28 Ord
Aug 19
POSTER, JOSEPH FRANCIS, Durham, Wholesale Grocer
Durham Pet June 5 Ord Aug 19
RADFORD, ARTHUR JAMES, Cambridge, Agent Cambridge
Pet July 30 Ord Aug 20
REED, FRANK AUGUSTUS, Whitworth rd, South Norwood,
Builder Croydon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
RITCHIE, JOHN THOMAS, Southport, Commission Agent
Liverpool Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
ROBERTS, SAMUEL CURRY, Neath, Glam, Draper Neath and
Aberavon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
ROOBER, WILLIAM, Farnedale, Glam, Engine Driver Ponty-
pridd Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
SMITH, GEORGE, Birmingham, Coach Builder Birmingham
Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
SWALLOW, JOHN, St Helens, Lancs, Engineer Liverpool
Pet July 28 Ord Aug 21
TAYLOR, CHARLES HENRY, Liversedge, York, Colliery Pro-
prietor Dewsbury Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
TIDY, WILLIAM, Folkestone, Photographer Canterbury
Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
WOLFSON, JACOB, Birmingham, Dealer in Gas Fittings
Birmingham Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 21
WOODING, FREDERICK, Rushden, Northampton, Grocer
Northampton Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

Amended Notices substituted for those published in the
London Gazette of Aug 10:

KIRKSLAY, THOMAS BROOK, Rathbone st, Canning Town,
Clothes High Court Pet June 30 Ord Aug 5
STEVENSON, ELLER, Wakefield, Hotel Keeper Wakefield
Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 5

ADJUDICATION ANNULLED.

BETTS, HORACE EDWARD DYKES, Great Massingham, Nor-
folk, Farmer King's Lynn Adjud June 29 Annul
Aug 19

THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED.

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

ESTABLISHED IN 1890.

EXCLUSIVE BUSINESS—LICENSED PROPERTY.

SPECIALISTS IN ALL LICENSING MATTERS.

Upwards of 650 Appeals to Quarter sessions have been conducted under the
direction and supervision of the Corporation.

Suitable Insurance Clauses for inserting in Leases or Mortgages of Licensed Property, Settled by Counsel, will be sent
on application.

ner-
st,
ner
ocer
12
11

per
ist
ner
nt
nt
18
et
er
nt
th
a,
d
e
r
a
r
t
y
t